EVALUATION OF ESOPHAGEAL ACHALASIA: FROM SYMPTOMS TO THE CHICAGO CLASSIFICATION

Avaliação diagnóstica da acalásia do esôfago: Dos sintomas à classificação de Chicago

Rafael Melillo LAURINO-NETO¹, Fernando HERBELLA¹, Francisco SCHLOTTMANN², Marco PATTI²

How to cite this article: Laurino-Neto RM, Herbella F, Schlottmann F, Patti M. Evaluation of esophageal achalasia: from symptoms to the Chicago classification. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2018;31(2):e1376. DOI: /10.1590/0102-672020180001e1376

From the ¹Departamento de Cirurgia, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, SP (¹Department of Surgery, Paulista Medical School, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil); ²Department of Surgery, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA.

HEADINGS - Esophageal achalasia. Deglutition disorders. Endoscopy, Digestive system. Manometry.

Correspondence:

Rafael Melillo Laurino-Neto E-mail: rmelillo@uol.com.br; rmelillo@uol.com.br

Financial source: none Conflict of interest: none

Received for publication: 23/01/2018 Accepted for publication: 27/03/2018

DESCRITORES - Transtornos de deglutição. Técnicas de diagnóstico do sistema digestório. Endoscopia do sistema digestório. Manometria. ABSTRACT - Introduction: The diagnosis of achalasia may be suggested by clinical features but a complete work-up is required not only to confirm the diagnosis but also to grade the disease by severity or clinical subtype. Objective: To review the current evaluation of esophageal achalasia and its correct comprehension. Method: The literature review was based on papers published on Medline/Pubmed, SciELO and Lilacs, crossing the following headings: "esophageal achalasia"; "deglutition disorders"; "diagnostic techniques", "digestive system"; "endoscopy, digestive system"; "manometry". Results: The diagnosis of achalasia is suggested by clinical features but is not sufficient to distinguish this from other esophageal disease. It must be confirmed by further diagnostic tests, such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy, barium swallow and manometry. Recent advances in diagnostic methods, including high resolution manometry might even help predicting outcome or selected more appropriate procedures to treat the disease. Conclusion: A detailed and systematic study of achalasia patients allows not only a correct diagnosis but also contributes to therapeutic decision making and prognosis.

RESUMO - Introdução: O diagnóstico da acalásia pode ser sugerido pelo quadro clínico; porém, completa investigação se faz necessária não apenas para confirmar o diagnóstico, mas, também, para estratificar a doença quanto à gravidade ou sub-tipo clínico. Objetivo: Revisar os atuais métodos diagnósticos da acalásia do esôfago e sua correta interpretação. Método: Revisão da literatura realizada nas bases de dados Medline/Pubmed, SciELO e Lilacs, cruzando-se os descritores "acalásia esofágica", "transtornos de deglutição", "técnicas de diagnóstico do sistema digestório", "endoscopia do sistema digestório" e "manometria". Resultados: O diagnóstico da acalásia é sugerido pelo quadro clínico, o qual, no entanto, é insuficiente para diferenciar esta doença de outras afecções esofágicas. O diagnóstico deve ser confirmado por endoscopia digestiva, estudo radiológico contrastado e manometria. Recentes avanços nos métodos diagnósticos, incluindo a manometria de alta resolução, podem também auxiliar no estabelecimento do prognóstico da doença ou na escolha da melhor modalidade de tratamento a ser realizada. Conclusão: Estudo detalhado e sistemático dos pacientes portadores de acalásia permite não apenas diagnóstico correto, mas também contribui na escolha da melhor opção terapêutica e estabelecimento do prognóstico destes indivíduos.

INTRODUCTION

chalasia is a rare primary esophageal motility disorder that occurs with equal distribution irrespective of gender and race, but with increasing incidence with age and variable prevalence in different countries¹. Although etiology is still elusive, achalasia pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment is relatively well understood¹⁸. Achalasia is predominantly an idiopathic disease secondary to a selective loss of inhibitory neurons of the myenteric plexus, most likely due to an autoimmune phenomenon in response to unknown antigens¹⁴. Similar clinical presentation, however, can occur in patients with pseudoachalasia (5% of patients with suspected achalasia) due to malignant obstruction⁴ or operations²⁰ at the esophagogastric junction. Achalasia can also be secondary to a tropical disease called Chagas' disease, characterized by degeneration of the myenteric plexus due to *Trypanosoma* infection⁶.

The diagnosis of achalasia is suggested by clinical features and confirmed by further diagnostic tests, such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy, barium swallow and manometry⁴. These exams are not only used to establish the diagnosis but are also helpful to grade the disease by severity or clinical subtype. Recent advances in diagnostic methods, including high resolution manometry, might even help predicting outcome^{23,25} or selected more appropriate procedures¹⁰. Achalasia diagnosis is sometimes delayed and confused with gastroesophageal reflux disease due to low suspicion of the illnesses and underuse of esophageal

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

manometry¹⁵.

This study aimed to review the current evaluation of esophageal achalasia and its correct comprehension.

METHOD

The literature review was based on papers published on Medline/Pubmed, SciELO and Lilacs, crossing the following headings: Esophageal achalasia; Deglutition disorders; Endoscopy; Digestive system; Manometry.

RESULTS

Clinical presentation

Dysphagia and regurgitation are the most common symptoms. Dysphagia may initially be noticed for solids only, but as many as 70-97% of patients with achalasia have dysphagia for both liquids and solids at presentation. The regurgitation of undigested, retained food occurs in about 75% of these patients²⁷.

Other symptoms include chest pain that is experienced by nearly 40% of patients with it, which must be differentiated from angina pectoris of cardiological origin. About 60% of achalasia patients may have some degree of weight loss at presentation due to poor esophageal emptying and decreased or modified food intake²⁷.

The most common extraesophageal manifestations are pulmonary complications. Structural or functional pulmonary abnormalities occur in more than half of patients, and might be due to recurrent aspiration or tracheal compression from a dilated esophagus⁴. Chagas' disease may affect other target organs such as the colon and the heart⁶.

There are different scores to quantify the severity and frequency of symptoms. The Eckardt symptom score is the grading system most frequently used for the evaluation of symptoms, stages and efficacy of achalasia treatment. It attributes points (0 to 3 points) for four symptoms of the disease (dysphagia, regurgitation, chest pain and weight loss), ranging from 0 to 12. Scores of 0-1 corresponds to clinical stage 0, 2-3 to stage I, 4-6 to stage II, and a score >6 to stage III ⁵ (Table 1).

TABLE 1 - Eckardt score for symptomatic evaluation in achalasia⁵

Score	Weight loss (kg)	Dysphagia	Retrosternal Pain	Regurgitation	
0	None	None	None	None	
1	< 5	Occasional	Occasional	Occasional	
2	5-10	Daily	Daily	Daily	
3	> 10	Each meal	Each meal	Each meal	

Symptoms only, however, do not reliably diagnose the disease since there is an overlap of symptoms with other esophageal diseases, particularly gastroesophageal reflux disease. Furthermore, symptoms presence or severity does not correlate with manometric findings, degree of esophageal dilatation or prognosis. A complete workup is necessary in these patients, not only for the diagnosis but for prognosis and to establish the proper therapeutic approach.

Upper digestive endoscopy

Endoscopy may suggest the diagnosis of achalasia, but has low accuracy. The esophageal body may appear dilated, atonic, and often tortuous at endoscopy in more advanced degrees of achalasia. Some resistance to trespass the cardia may be noticed. Esophageal mucosa may be normal but esophagitis with friability, thickening, and even erosions may be noticed secondary mainly to chronic stasis²⁷.

Upper endoscopy must be performed in all patients with dysphagia and suspected achalasia. The main reason is to rule out esophageal cancer, or the development of premalignant or malignant lesions secondary to chronic stasis. Pseudoachalasia results from tumors at the esophagogastric junction and mimic classic achalasia, although clinical differences, such as older patients, greater weight loss and shorter duration of symptoms are seen. These tumors may be missed endoscopically in up to 60% of patients with pseudoachalasia due to a submucosal presentation. Endoscopic ultrasonography and CT scan may prove useful in patients with non-diagnostic endoscopy, and high degree of clinical suspicion for pseudoachalasia, but it are not recommended as a routine tests in achalasia^{26,28}. Achalasia is an important risk factor for esophageal cancer with an incidence of up to 9% of cancer developing in achalasia series⁸ or 10-50 times higher than the general population².

Barium swallow

It is important to define the morphology of the esophagus (diameter and axis) and associated conditions, such as epiphrenic diverticula or cancer. Classical findings are the distal esophagus tapering in a "bird's beak" configuration with proximal dilation of the organ, sometimes with an air-fluid level, and absence of intra-gastric air. In more advanced cases, severe dilatation with stasis of food and a sigmoid-like appearance can occur¹⁴ (Figure 1). However, dilation of the esophagus may be absent, and the organ may appear normal, especially during the early stages of the disease^{1,14}. A classification for the degree of esophageal dilatation is in use by Latin American surgeons due to the frequent finding of dilatation in Chagas' disease²¹(Table2).



FIGURE 1 - Barium swallow in achalasia (proximal dilated esophagus, distal taper– arrow)

 TABLE 2 - Classification for esophageal dilatation based on barium esophagogram according to Rezende²¹

Maximum esophageal diameter (cm)	Grade
<4	I
4-7	II
7-10	
>10	IV

Timed barium swallow can be performed to assess emptying of the esophagus, by measuring the height of the barium column 5 min after ingestion of diluted barium³.

Manometry

Esophageal manometry defines the diagnosis of the disease with a very high level of certainty, even in the very early stages of the disease. The manometric picture of achalasia is characterized by failure of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) to relax during swallowing and aperistalsis¹⁸.

Conventional manometry has some technical limitations that allow the measurement of LES relaxation based on the nadir pressure during swallow. In this setting, about 70-80% have absent or incomplete LES relaxation with wet swallows, while the remainder will have a nadir pressure within normal limits but with short duration relaxation (<6 s). Aperistalsis is usually noticed as simultaneous mirrored contractions with complete loss of propagation of the contractions (Figure 2). In advanced cases, pressurization of the esophagus from incomplete evacuation of air and retained food may be seen. Hypertonic LES was considered one of the criteria for the diagnosis although this is found in only half of patients with achalasia. A subset of patients presented with high amplitude simultaneous waves, defined as vigorous achalasia^{27,22}.



FIGURE 2 - Conventional manometry in a case of achalasia

The introduction of high resolution manometry has improved the ability to diagnose achalasia and identify newer variants. More detailed parameters were created based on technological improvements¹². LES relaxation is measured more precisely by the Integrated Relaxation Pressure that corresponds to the mean pressure of 4 s of greatest post-deglutitive relaxation in a 10 s gap, triggered at the beginning of a swallow¹³. Esophageal body analysis allowed to categorize achalasia into three groups (or variants), a classification known as the Chicago Criteria²³, now in its 3.0 version⁹ (Table 3). These groups are characterized by pressurization of the esophageal body or not, and the presence of spastic contractions (Figure 3).

TABLE 3 - Manometric Chicago Classification for achalasia

Туре	Lower esophageal sphincter	Esophageal body				
I	Incomplete relaxation	Aperistalsis and absence of esophageal pressurization				
II	Incomplete relaxation	Aperistalsis and panesophageal pressurization in at least 20% of swallows				
111	Incomplete relaxation	Premature (spastic) contractions with distal contractility integral (DCI) >450 mmHg·s·cm with ≥20% of swallows				



FIGURE 3 - Achalasia subtypes in high resolution manometry

The Chicago Classification made major contribution for the prognosis of the disease. The subgrouping of achalasia types has direct relationship with outcomes with positive treatment response in 96% of cases in type II, 56% of type I and only 29% of those classified as type III¹⁷. A recent meta-analysis that encompassed nine studies and almost 730 patients¹⁶, found a difference in prognosis with different types of treatments such as pneumatic dilatation and surgical myotomy. The same pattern occurs after botulinum toxin injection¹⁷.

The selection of the best initial approach for achalasia also appears to be influenced by the Chicago Classification subgrouping. While in types I and II, more conservative treatments such as pneumatic dilatation and surgical myotomy appear to be good options, type III seems to be better managed with per oral endoscopic myotomy than surgical myotomy, probably due to the ability to perform longer myotomies^{7,11}.

CONCLUSION

Patients with suspected achalasia must be evaluated with a complete work-up. Symptoms are not sufficient to distinguish achalasia from other esophageal disease. Furthermore, a detailed and systematic study of these patients allows not only a fast and correct diagnosis but also contributes to therapeutic decision making and prognosis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Boeckxstaens GE, Zaninotto G, Richter JE. Achalasia. Lancet. 2014 Jan 4;383(9911):83-93.
- Chaber-Ciopinska A, Kiprian D, Kawecki A, Kaminski MF. Surveillance of patients at high-risk of squamous cell esophageal cancer. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2016 Dec;30(6):893-900. doi: 10.1016/j. bpg.2016.10.003. Epub 2016 Oct 21.
- de Oliveira JM, Birgisson S, Doinoff C, et al. Timed barium swallow: a simple technique for evaluating esophageal emptying in patients with achalasia. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1997 Aug;169(2):473-9.
- Eckardt AJ, Eckardt VF. Current clinical approach to achalasia. World J Gastroenterol. 2009 Aug 28;15(32):3969-75.
- Gockel I, Junginger T. The value of scoring achalasia: a comparison of current systems and the impact on treatment--the surgeon's viewpoint. Am Surg. 2007 Apr;73(4):327-31.
- Herbella FA, Aquino JL, Stefani-Nakano S, Artifon EL, Sakai P, Crema E, Andreollo NA, Lopes LR, de Castro Pochini C, Corsi PR, Gagliardi D, Del Grande JC. Treatment of achalasia: lessons learned with Chagas' disease. Dis Esophagus. 2008;21(5):461-7.
- 7. Herbella FA, Moura EG, Patti MG. Achalasia 2016: Treatment Alternatives. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2017 Jan;27(1):6-11.
- Herbella FA, Oliveira DR, Del Grande JC. Are idiopathic and Chagasic achalasia two different diseases? Dig Dis Sci. 2004 Mar;49(3):353-60.
- Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, et al. International High Resolution Manometry Working Group. The Chicago Classification of esophageal motilitydisorders, v3.0. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2015 Feb;27(2):160-74.
- 10. Kahrilas PJ, Pandolfino JE. Treatments for achalasia in 2017: how to choose among them. Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2017 Apr 19. [Epub ahead of print]



- 11. Kumbhari V, Tieu AH, Onimaru M, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) vs laparoscopic Heller myotomy (LHM) for the treatment of Type III achalasia in 75 patients: a multicenter comparative study. 29. Endosc Int Open. 2015 Jun;3(3):E195-201.
- Lafraia FM, Herbella FAM, Kalluf JR, Patti MG. A pictorial presentation of esophageal high resolution manometry current parameters. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2017 Jan-Mar;30(1):69-71.
- Lin Z, Kahrilas PJ, Roman S, et al. Refining the criterion for an abnormal Integrated Relaxation Pressure in esophageal pressure topographybased on the pattern of esophageal contractility using a classification and regression tree model. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2012 Aug; 24(8):e356-63.
- Moonen A, Boeckxstaens G. Current diagnosis and management of achalasia. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2014 Jul;48(6):484-90.
- 15. Niebisch S, Hadzijusufovic E, Mehdorn M, et al. Achalasia an unnecessary long way to diagnosis. Dis Esophagus. 2017 May 1;30(5):1-6.
- OuYH, NieXM, LiLF, WeiZJ, Jiang B. High-resolution manometric subtypes as a predictive factor for the treatment of achalasia: A meta-analysis and systematic review. J Dig Dis. 2016 Apr;17(4):222-35.
- Pandolfino JE, Kwiatek MA, Nealis T, et al. Achalasia: a new clinically relevant classification by high-resolution manometry. Gastroenterology. 2008 Nov;135(5):1526-33.
- Patti MG, Herbella FA. Achalasia and other esophageal motility disorders. J Gastrointest Surg. 2011 May;15(5):703-7. doi: 10.1007/s11605-011-1478-x. Epub 2011 Mar 11.
- 19. Ponds FA, van Raath MI, Mohamed SMM, Smout AJPM, Bredenoord AJ. Diagnostic features of malignancy-associated pseudoachalasia. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017 Jun;45(11):1449-1458. doi: 10.1111/apt.14057. Epub 2017 Apr 6.

- Ravi K, Sweetser S, Katzka DA. Pseudoachalasia secondary to bariatric surgery. Dis Esophagus. 2016 Nov;29(8):992-995.
- 21. Rezende JM. Classificação radiológica do megaesôfago. Rev Goiana Med. 1982;28:187-91.
- 22. Richter JE. Achalasia an update. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2010 Jul;16(3):232-42.
- Rohof WO, Salvador R, Annese V, et al. Outcomes of treatment for achalasia depend on manometric subtype. Gastroenterology. 2013 Apr;144(4):718-25; quiz e13-4.
- Roman S, Gyawali CP, Xiao Y, Pandolfino JE, Kahrilas PJ. The Chicago Classification of motility disorders, an update. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2014 Oct;24(4):545-61.
- 25. Torresan F, Ioannou A, Azzaroli F, Bazzoli F. Treatment of achalasia in the era of high-resolution manometry. Ann Gastroenterol. 2015 Jul-Sep;28(3):301-308.
- 26. Tracey JP, Traube M. Difficulties in the diagnosis of pseudoachalasia. Am J Gastroenterol 1994;89:2014-8.
- Vaezi MF, Richter JE. Diagnosis and management of achalasia. American College of Gastroenterology Practice Parameter Committee. Am J Gastroenterol. 1999 Dec;94(12):3406-12.
- Van Dam J, Falk GW, Sivak MV, et al. Endosonographic evaluation of the patient with achalasia: Appearance of the esophagus using the echoendoscope. Endoscopy 1995;27: 185-90.