PRE-OPERATIVE GASTRIC GIST DOWNSIZING: THE IMPORTANCE OF NEOADJUVANT THERAPY

Redução tumoral pré-operatória do GIST gástrico: a importância da terapia neoadjuvante

João Bernardo Sancio Rocha **RODRIGUES**¹, Renato Gomes **CAMPANATI**¹, Francisco **NOLASCO**¹, Athos Miranda **BERNARDES**¹, Soraya Rodrigues de Almeida **SANCHES**¹, Paulo Roberto **SAVASSI-ROCHA**¹

How to cite this article: Rodrigues JBSR, Campanati RG, Nolasco F, Bernardes AM, Sanches SRA, Savassi-Rocha PR. Pre-operative gastric GIST downsizing: the importance of neoadjuvant therapy. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2019;32(1):e1427. DOI: /10.1590/0102-672020180001e1427

From the ¹Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Alfa Institute of Gastroenterology, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

HEADINGS - Gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Neoadjuvant therapy. Imatinib Mesylate. Molecular targeted therapy. Chemotherapy, adjuvant

ABSTRACT - *Introduction*: Gastric gastrointestinal tumors (GIST) are a rare and usually asymptomatic neoplasm that can present as abdominal mass in more advanced scenarios. Since surgical resection is the main aspect of the treatment, locally advanced tumors require multivisceral resection and, therefore, higher postoperative morbidity and mortality. *Objective*: To perform a review the literature on the topic, with emphasis on the neoadjuvant therapy. *Methods*: Literature review on the Medline database using the following descriptors: gastrointestinal stromal tumors, neoadjuvant therapy, imatinib mesylate and molecular targeted therapy. *Results*: Surgical resection remains the cornerstone for the treatment of GISTs; however, tyrosine kinase inhibitors have improved survival as an adjuvant therapy. More recently, neoadjuvant therapy have been described in the treatment of locally advanced tumors in order to avoid multivisceral resection. *Conclusion*: Despite surgical resection remains as the most important aspect of the treatment of GISTs, adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors have shown to both improve survival and resectability, respectively.

Correspondence:

João Bernardo Sancio Rocha Rodrigues E-mail: joaosancio@ufmg.br; joao.sancio@hotmail.com

Financial source: none Conflict of interest: none

Received for publication: 17/05/2018 Accepted for publication: 07/08/2018

DESCRITORES - Tumores do estroma gastrointestinal. Terapia neoadjuvante. Mesilato de imatinib. Terapia de alvo molecular. Quimioterapia adjuvante RESUMO - Introdução: O tumor estromal gastrintestinal (GIST) gástrico é neoplasia que cursa, por vezes, com apresentação assintomática, se manifestando como massa abdominal, relacionada a casos mais avançados. Esses, por sua vez, exigem tratamento com operações maiores e que cursam com mais alta morbimortalidade. *Objetivo*: Revisar e atualizar o tratamento do GIST gástrico, com enfoque na relevância do tratamento neoadjuvante. Método: Revisão da literatura utilizando a base de dados Medline/PubMed. Utilizaram-se os seguintes descritores: gastrointestinal stromal tumors, neoadjuvant therapy, imatinib mesylate e molecular targeted therapy. Dos artigos selecionados, 20 foram incluídos. Resultados: O tratamento cirúrgico é pilar fundamental para a cura do GIST. Entretanto, após a introdução do mesilato de imatinibe, classicamente utilizado como terapia adjuvante, houve mudança no manejo do GIST, permitindo aumento da sobrevida e diminuição da recorrência. A aplicação como terapia neoadjuvante é mais recente, e visa evitar procedimentos maiores sem, no entanto, prejudicar o resultado oncológico. Conclusão: A ressecção cirúrgica possui papel bem estabelecido no tratamento do GIST, inclusive com abordagem laparoscópica. O tratamento adjuvante com mesilato de imatinib, durante os primeiros três anos após a operação, mostra-se como opção segura para casos com elevado risco de recidiva. A terapia neoadjuvante é opção promissora para casos de tumor localmente avançado, permitindo ressecções menores e com menor morbimortalidade operatória.

INTRODUCTION

The gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is the most frequent mesenchymal tissue tumor of the gastrointestinal tract, accounting for up to 1 to 1.5 cases every 100.000 people/year, with a mean age of 60 years at diagnosis¹⁶. It originates from the Cajal cells, which are located at the muscle layers of the bowel wall and are involved in peristalsis¹⁰. Despite being also described outside the digestive tract, the most frequent location are the stomach (60% of cases) and the small bowel (around 20-30%)^{15,16}. Usually asymptomatic, most of GISTs are an incidental diagnosis during surgical or image exams, but it can lead to abdominal swelling and pain in more advanced scenarios^{16,17}. At the pathology exam, the defining factor for diagnosis other than morphological traits is the expression of the receptor of the proto-oncogene KIT (CD117)¹⁶.

Despite surgical resection is still considered the cornerstone of treatment, the target therapies with tyrosine kinase inhibitors have also contributed to a greater

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.



improvement, since its use as adjuvant therapy have shown to increase overall and disease free-survival^{1,4,7,11,16}. However, the preoperative therapy with such drugs can aid in specific cases with predicted higher morbidity, in order to enable more conservative surgical approaches and better oncological and functional results¹.

This study was approved by ethical board of the institution and by the patient depicted with specific consent.

Literature review was performed using Medline database with the following descriptors: gastrointestinal stromal tumors, neoadjuvant therapy, imatinib mesylate and molecular targeted therapy.

RESULTS

METHODS

The imatinib mesylate is the first line of treatment for inoperable, recurred of metastatic GIST^{4,16}. Surgical resection remains the best treatment for tumors that can be completely removed with free surgical margins^{3,4,11,16}. Since lymphatic spread is rare, lymphadenectomy is not routinely perfomed¹⁶. Minimally invasive procedures are indicated, mainly in small gastric lesions, regarding its known benefits of early operative recovery and lower morbidity, but larger masses are less likely to be resected from laparoscopic approach due to higher perforation risk^{4,9,11,12,16}.

Surgical resection with microscopically free margins is related with a 5-year overall survival rate of around $60\%^{16}$. Since 2008, imatinib mesylate has been indicated as adjuvant therapy after surgical resection with a significant reduction in local recurrence²⁰. A trial from DeMatteo *et al.*² randomized patients with GIST of up to 3 cm after R0 resection to receive 400 mg/day of imatinib vs. placebo, showing a significant improvement in 1-year disease free-survival (98% vs. 83%, p<0,0001). Interestingly, overall survival rated did not differed between groups, probably due to short follow-up period.

Therefore, the definition of high-risk groups that are amenable to adjuvant therapy is of foremost importance and classically it takes into account the mitotic rate, tumor size and primary site, as well as tumor perforation during surgery⁴. Regarding these factors, several classification systems have been proposed, including that of Miettinen and Lasota¹³ that stratifies patients as very low risk, low risk, intermediate risk and high risk of postoperative progression^{3-5,13,14}. On the other hand, the 2016 Asian consensus¹¹ adopts the classification by Joensuu⁸, from 2008. High risk tumors, regarding the Joensuu classification, are amenable to adjuvant therapy with 400 mg of imatinib mesylate (Table 1).

 TABLE 1 - Prognostic classification of recurrence risk for the selection of adjuvant therapy in patients with GIST*

Risk category	Tumor size in largest dimension	Mitotic count (per 50 HPFs§)	Primary site
Very low risk	<2 cm	≤5	Any
Low risk	>2 and ≤5 cm	≤5	Any
Intermediate risk	>2 and ≤5 cm	>5	Gastric
	<5 cm	>5 and ≤10	Any
	>5 and ≤10 cm	≤5	Gastric
High risk	Tumoral Rupture		
	>10 cm	Any	Any
	Any	>10	Any
	>5 cm	>5	Any
	>2 and ≤5 cm	>5	Non-gastric
	>5 and <10 cm	< 5	Non-gastric

* Adapted from Joensuu⁸; § number of mitosis per 50 high-power fields.

Therefore, it is defined that patients with gastric GIST that should receive adjuvant therapy with imatinib mesylate, 400 mg/day, are those in which there was tumor rupture during intraoperative time, tumors greater than 10 cm or with a mitotic count greater than 10 mitosis per 50 high-power fields (HPFs), as well as those larger than 5 cm associated with a mitotic count greater than five mitosis per 50 HPFs¹¹.

Regarding the extent of the adjuvant therapy, another multicentric randomized trial compared 1 vs. 3 years of duration and demonstrated a greater 5-year disease free and overall survival for the longer duration group (47,9 vs. 65,6%, p<0,001 and 81,7 vs. 92,0%, p=0,02, respectively)⁶. Therefore, if adjuvant therapy is indicated, it should be performed for three years and, according to the main current consensus, its initiation should occur as soon as possible after the operation, once the patient has oral intake^{4,11}.

More recently, neoadjuvant therapy has been considered in cases of locally advanced tumors, where is predicted positive resection margins and, therefore, a higher chance of bleeding and perforation. Other than that it can also be indicated to avoid multivisceral resections in order to minimize postoperative morbidity and to enable the surgical approach^{1,4,11,19,20}.

Gene sequencing methods are indicated before the therapy since it can predict response and the most common ones are located at the KIT gene in exons 11 (65%) and 9 (8%). Exons 11 and 13 mutations in this gene is associated with better response and prognosis and the exon 9 mutation, on the other hand, with lower response to imatinib and more aggressive tumors. The mutation on the gene PDGFRA (D8842V) and the other 10% of tumors that does not present with any other mutations show minimal or no response after tyrosine kinase inhibitors therapy, hence the importance of gene sequencing¹⁶.

Neoadjuvant therapy can be maintained for 4-12 months and does not require preemptive suspension before surgical approach^{4,11}. Usually, imaging exams are repeated after the first month of therapy, specially when gene sequencing was not performed, in order to detect non-response (Figure 1)^{4,11}.





There is no specific criteria to measure tumor response on image exams and, particularly, to determine the behavior of the GIST.

Another interesting finding is an unexpectedly good response of some patients with metastatic disease after imatinib therapy making it even amenable to surgical resection¹⁸.

To this very moment, there is no good quality evidence on the follow up of patients with GISTs after surgical resection and most of the data are based on expert opinion. Since extra-abdominal metastatic dissemination is quite uncommon in gastrointestinal stromal tumors, computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and pelvis appears to be sufficient as a method of imaging during follow-up, and may be replaced by magnetic resonance imaging in younger patients, in order to decrease exposure to excessive radiation. For intermediate or low-risk patients, an annual CT scan during the first five years after resection is considered adequate. The typical recommendation for high-risk patients is to perform an image exam every six months in the first two years and subsequently every 6-12 months^{4,11,16}.

CONCLUSION

Surgical resection of gastric GIST remains the cornerstone of the treatment of these tumors, with minimally invasive approaches being the usual choice whenever possible. Adjuvant therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors is indicated in high-risk patients in the first three years after operation and, more recently, neoadjuvant therapy presents as a reasonable option in locally advanced tumors, in order to reduce postoperative morbidity and to increase resectability.

REFERENCES

- 1. Asif S, Gupta N, Gupta G, Mehta A, Singh S. Effective Downsizing of a Gastroesophageal GIST Using Neoadjuvant Imatinib Mesylate: a Case Report. J Gastrointest Cancer. 2017 Jun;48(2):198-200.
- DeMatteo RP, Ballman KV, Antonescu CR, et al. Placebo-Controlled Randomized Trial of Adjuvant Imatinib Mesylate Following the Resection of Localized, Primary Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST). Lancet. 2009;373(9669):1097-1104.
- Eisenberg BL, Trent JC. Adjuvant and neoadjuvant imatinib therapy: current role in the management of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Int J Cancer. 2011 Dec 1;129(11):2533-42.
- ESMO/European Sarcoma Network Working Group. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2014 Sep;25 Suppl 3:iii21-6. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdu255. Erratum in: Ann Oncol. 2015 Sep;26 Suppl 5:v174-7.
- Gold JS, Gönen M, Gutiérrez A, et al. Development and Validation of a Prognostic Nomogram for Recurrence-Free Survival after Complete Surgical Resection of Localized, Primary Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST): A Retrospective Analysis. The lancet oncology. 2009;10(11):1045-1052.

- 6. Joensuu H, Eriksson M, Sundby Hall K, et al. One vs Three Years of Adjuvant Imatinib for Operable Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor: A Randomized Trial. JAMA. 2012;307(12):1265–1272.
- Joensuu H, Martin-Broto J, Nishida T, Reichardt P, Schöffski P, Maki RG. Follow-up strategies for patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumour treated with or without adjuvant imatinib after surgery. Eur J Cancer. 2015 Aug;51(12):1611-7.
- 8. Joensuu H. Risk stratification of patients diagnosed with gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Hum Pathol. 2008 Oct; 39(10):1411-9.
- 9. Kim JJ, Lim JY, Nguyen SQ. Laparoscopic resection of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: Does laparoscopic surgery provide an adequate oncologic resection? World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2017;9(9):448-455.
- Kindblom LG, Remotti HE, Aldenborg F, Meis-Kindblom JM. Gastrointestinal pacemaker cell tumor (GIPACT): gastrointestinal stromal tumors show phenotypic characteristics of the interstitial cells of Cajal. The American Journal of Pathology. 1998;152(5):1259-69.
- 11.Koo D-H, Ryu M-H, Kim K-M, et al. Asian Consensus Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor. Cancer Research and Treatment: Official Journal of Korean Cancer Association. 2016;48(4):1155-1166.
- 12. Loureiro M de P, de Almeida RÁA, Claus CMP, et al. Laparoscopic Resection Of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors (GIST). Arquivos Brasileiros de Cirurgia Digestiva: ABCD. 2016;29(1):1-4.
- Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathology and prognosis at different sites. Semin Diagn Pathol. 2006 May;23(2):70-83.
- 14. Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: review on morphology, molecular pathology, prognosis, and differential diagnosis. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2006 Oct;130(10):1466-78.
- 15. Navarrete A, Momblán D, Almenara R, Lacy A. Giant Gastric Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST). J Gastrointest Surg. 2017 Jan;21(1):202-4.
- 16. Nishida T, Blay J-Y, Hirota S, Kitagawa Y, Kang Y-K. The standard diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of gastrointestinal stromal tumors based on guidelines. Gastric Cancer. 2016;19(1):3-14.
- 17. Patil S, Jain S, Kaza RCM, Chamberlain RS. Giant Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor Presenting as a Palpable Abdominal Mass: An Unusual Presentation. ISRN Surgery. 2011;2011:894829.
- 18. Ramaswamy A, Jain D, Sahu A, et al. Neoadjuvant imatinib: longer the better, need to modify risk stratification for adjuvant imatinib. Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. 2016;7(4):624-631.
- 19.Rutkowski P, Gronchi A, Hohenberger P, et al. Neoadjuvant imatinib in locally advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST): the EORTC STBSG experience. Ann Surg Oncol. 2013 Sep;20(9):2937-43.
- Rutkowski P, Hompes D. Combined Therapy of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors. Surg Oncol Clin N Am. 2016 Oct;25(4):735-59.