RE-HEPATECTOMY MEANS MORE MORBIDITY? A MULTICENTRIC **ANALYSIS**

RE-HEPATECTOMIA SIGNIFICA MAIOR MORBIDADE? UMA ANÁLISE MULTICÊNTRICA

Luiza BASILIO¹⁰, Klaus STEINBRÜCK^{2,30}, Reinaldo FERNANDES^{2,30}, Marcelo D'OLIVEIRA²⁰, Renato CANO^{1,20}, Hanna VASCONCELOS^{1,2®}, Daniel BARBOSA^{4®}, Marcelo ENNE^{1,2®}

RESUMO - RACIONAL: O câncer colorretal geralmente metastatiza para o fígado. Hepatectomia associada à quimioterapia sistêmica é potencialmente curativa para metástases hepáticas colorretais, entretanto, muitos pacientes apresentarão recidiva após a cirurgia. Em casos selecionados, a re-hepatectomia é viável, com relatos de melhora na sobrevida global. **OBJETIVO:** Analisar pacientes com metástase hepática colorretal operados em três centros do Rio de Janeiro, nos últimos 10 anos, comparando as morbidades da primeira hepatectomia e da re-hepectomia. MÉTODOS: De junho de 2009 a julho de 2020, 192 pacientes com metástase hepática colorretal foram submetidos à hepatectomia em três hospitais do Rio de Janeiro. Os dados dos pacientes, cirurgias e desfechos foram coletados de um banco de dados mantido prospectivamente. Pacientes submetidos à primeira hepatectomia e re-hepatectomia foram classificados como Grupo 1 e Grupo 2, respectivamente. Os dados dos grupos foram comparados e o valor de p<0,05 foi considerado significativo. **RESULTADOS:** Dentre 192 pacientes, dezesseis foram excluídos. Dos 176 pacientes restantes, 148 e 28 foram incluídos dos Grupos 1 e 2, respectivamente. Cinquenta e cinco (37,2%) pacientes no Grupo 1 e treze (46,5%) no Grupo 2 apresentaram complicações pós-operatórias. Comparando os Grupos 1 e 2, não foi observada diferença estatística entre o número de pacientes com complicações pós-operatórias (p = 0,834), complicações menores (p = 0,266) ou maiores (p = 0,695) e óbitos (p = 0,407). **CONCLUSÕES:** Não foram registradas diferenças na morbidade ou mortalidade entre os pacientes submetidos à primeira ou à re-hepatectomia em pacientes com metástase hepática colorretal, o que sustenta que a re-hepatectomia pode ser realizada com resultados comparáveis à primeira hepatectomia.

DESCRITORES: Fígado. Hepatectomia. Morbidade. Mortalidade

ABSTRACT - BACKGROUND: Colorectal cancer generally metastasizes to the liver. Surgical resection of liver metastasis, which is associated with systemic chemotherapy, is potentially curative, but many patients will present recurrence. In selected patients, repeated hepatectomy is feasible and improves overall survival. **AIM:** This study aimed to analyze patients with colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM) submitted to hepatectomy in three centers from Rio de Janeiro, over the past 10 years, by comparing the morbidity of first hepatectomy and re-hepatectomy. METHODS: From June 2009 to July 2020, 192 patients with CRLM underwent liver resection with curative intent in three hospitals from Rio de Janeiro Federal Health System. The data from patients, surgeries, and outcomes were collected from a prospectively maintained database. Patients submitted to first and re-hepatectomies were classified as Group 1 and Group 2, respectively. Data from groups were compared and value of p<0.05 was considered significant. **RESULTS:** Among 192 patients, 16 were excluded. Of the remaining 176 patients, 148 were included in Group 1 and 28 were included in Group 2. Fifty-five (37.2%) patients in Group 1 and 13 (46.5%) in Group 2 presented postoperative complications. Comparing Groups 1 and 2, we found no statistical difference between the cases of postoperative complications (p=0.834), number of minor (p=0.266) or major (p=0.695) complications, and deaths (p=0.407). **CONCLUSIONS:** No differences were recorded in morbidity or mortality between patients submitted to first and re-hepatectomies for CRLM, which reinforces that re-hepatectomy can be performed with outcomes comparable to first hepatectomy. **HEADINGS:** Liver. Hepatectomy. Morbidity. Mortality

Central message

Prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer is strongly linked to liver metastasis treatment. Surgery associated with chemotherapy improves the long-term survival for patients with colorectal liver metastasis recurrence; however, morbidity related to hepatectomy is still a significant issue, especially in patients submitted to repeated hepatectomies.

Perspectives

Repeated hepatic resections for colorectal liver metastasis became a safe procedure when performed by hepatobiliary teams with experience in complex liver resections.







1Federal Hospital of Ipanema, Hepatobiliary Surgery Service, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil; 2Hepatobiliary Multidisciplinary Service, Rio de Janeiro,RJ,Brazil; 3Federal Hospital of Bonsucesso, Hepatobiliary Surgery Service, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil; Servidores Federal Hospital, Hepatobiliary Surgery Service, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil; Servidores Federal Hospital, Hepatobiliary Surgery Service, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

How to cite this article: Basilio L, Steinbrück K, Fernandes R, D'Oliveira M, Cano R, Vasconcelos H, Barbosa D, Enne M. Re-hepatectomy means more morbidity? A multicentric analysis. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2022;35:e1647.

Correspondence: Klaus Steinbrück. E-mail: steinbruck@gmail.com Financial source: none Conflict of interest: none Received: 10/06/2021 Accepted: 12/19/2021



INTRODUCTION

RESULTS

olorectal cancer generally metastasizes to the liver and/or lungs. At the time of diagnosis, approximately 25% of patients have metastasis and nearly 30% will develop it during the course of the disease^{2,15,16}. Multidisciplinary treatment, i.e., matching surgical resection and systemic chemotherapy is potentially curative, with a 5-year overall survival rate of 40–60%^{6,18,25}. Nevertheless, recurrence is common, around 50% in the first 2 years after resection, and the liver is the principal site^{8,9,28}. In selected patients, repeated liver resection is feasible and improves the overall survival^{17,20,27}. The benefits and outcomes after repeated liver resection and selection of patients still need discussion.

This study aimed to evaluate patients with colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM) treated with surgery in three centers from Rio de Janeiro, over the past 10 years, by focusing on the safety and outcomes of hepatectomies and comparing the morbidity of first hepatectomy and re-hepatectomy.

METHODS

From June 2009 to July 2020, 192 patients with CRLM underwent liver resection in three hospitals from Rio de Janeiro's Federal Health System, namely Ipanema Federal Hospital, Bonsucesso Federal Hospital, and Servidores Federal Hospital. The data from patients, surgeries, and outcomes were collected from a prospectively maintained database. Patients submitted to first and re-hepatectomies were classified as Group 1 and Group 2, respectively.

Preoperatively, all patients were submitted to laboratory tests (including CEA and CA19.9 levels), nutritional evaluation, colonoscopy, and enhanced CT scan (thorax and abdomen). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed whenever it was possible. All cases were discussed in a multidisciplinary meeting, and hepatic resection was indicated with curative intent.

Platinum-based chemotherapy was offered to all patients, either preoperatively or postoperatively, according to the multidisciplinary evaluation of each case.

Majority of surgeries were performed by laparotomy with bilateral subcostal or J-shape incisions, depending on patient and tumor features and senior surgeon's choice. More recently, laparoscopy was used for selected patients with favorable nodule position (left lateral or anterior segments). Resection of more than three segments was considered a major resection. Liver resections were classified according to the Brisbane nomenclature system²³.

Intraoperative ultrasonography was done routinely to define resection margins. Hepatectomies were performed using an ultrasonic dissector. The Pringle maneuver and the energy devices (monopolar and bipolar cauterizers, vessel sealing device) were used to diminish blood loss. To avoid biliary complications, biliary leakage test¹¹ was performed, whenever possible.

Postoperative epidural analgesia was given regularly for all patients submitted to open surgery approach. Subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin was initiated on the first postoperative day and maintained until deambulation. Postoperative complications were stratified using the modified Clavien-Dindo scoring system¹⁰. Grades 1 and 2 were considered minor complications and grades 3 and 4 were considered major complications. Among the patients with more than one complication, only the most severe one was considered.

Data comparison was done using Fisher's exact test for categorical numbers and Student's t-test for continuous variables. For statistical significance, p<0.05 was considered significant.

This research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institution under the number 0024/2020.

Among the 192 patients analyzed, 16 were excluded because they were submitted to second-stage hepatectomy and ALLPS procedure or because of lack of data. Of the remaining 176 patients, 148 underwent first hepatectomy (Group 1) and 28 underwent re-hepatectomy (Group 2).

The median age of the Group 1 was 58.22 ± 10.62 years (range 23–81 years), and 62 (41.9%) were females and 86 (58.1%) were males. The majority of patients were classified as those who had ASA 2 (86 patients – 58.1%) and those who had tumors ranging from 3 to 5 cm (64 patients – 43.2%). Major resection was performed in 31 (21%) patients. Median ICU stay and hospitalization time were 2.45 ± 1.95 and 7.28 ± 6.39 days, respectively.

The median age of Group 2 was 54.89 ± 8.80 years (range 36–78 years), and 16 (57.1%) patients were females and 12 (42.8%) were males. Fourteen (50%) patients had tumor with <3 cm in size, and the majority was classified as ASA 2 (17 patients – 60.7%). Major resection was made in 4 (14.3%) patients. Median ICU stay and hospitalization time in Group 2 were 2.29 ± 0.94 and 5.96 ± 1.97 days, respectively. Table 1 shows patients' demographic data.

Sixty-eight patients experienced postoperative complications, i.e., 55 (37.2%) in Group 1 and 13 (46.5%) in Group 2 (Table 2). The most prevalent complications were related to gastrointestinal disorders, such as nausea, vomiting, gastroparesis, and paralytic ileus, which were observed in 22 patients. Nine patients developed biliary complications. Four patients needed to be reoperated. Two patients presented hepatic dysfunction and one developed multiorgan failure, caused by small bowel perforation and peritonitis. There were three deaths: one due to gas embolism, one due to HIV associated with brain tumor hemorrhage, and the last due to severe peritonitis, associated with biliary fistula.

Forty-two (28.2%) complications in Group 1 were considered minor, 11 (7.4%) were considered major, and two (1.4%) deaths were observed. In Group 2, 11 (39.3%) complications were considered minor, only one (3.6%) was considered major, and one (3.6%) death was observed (Table 3). Comparing Groups 1 and 2, we found no statistical difference between the number of patients with postoperative complications (p=0.834), number

Table 1 - Patients' demographic data.

	J	•		
		Group 1 (N=148)	Group 2 (N=28)	p-value
		N (%)	N (%)	
Gender	Female	62 (41.9)	16 (57.1)	0.151
	Male	86 (58.1)	12 (42.8)	0.151
Age (years)		58.22±10.62	54.89±8.80	0.121
Tumor size	<3 cm	53 (35.8)	14 (50.0)	0.202
	3–5 cm	64 (43.2)	11 (39.3)	0.835
	5–10 cm	23 (15.5)	2 (7.14)	0.376
	>10 cm	3 (2.0)	1 (3.6)	0.503
ASA score	ASA 1	47 (31.7)	10 (35.7)	0.666
	ASA 2	86 (58.1)	17 (60.7)	0.837
	ASA 3	14 (9.4)	1 (3.6)	0.471
	ASA 4	1 (0.7)	_	1.000
Resection	Minor	117 (79)	24 (85.7)	0.606
	Major	31 (21)	4 (14.3)	0.000
Type resection	Non-ana- tomical	79 (53)	14 (50)	0.027
	Anatomical	53 (36)	14 (50)	0.837
	Both	16 (11)	-	
Blood transfusion		9 (6.0)	1 (3.5)	1.000
ICU time (days)		2.45±1.95	2.29±0.94	0.657
Hospitalization time (days)		7.28±6.39	5.96±1.97	0.281

Table 2 - Complications of Group 1 and Group 2.

	complications of Group 1 and Group 2.				
	Group 1 (N=148)	Group 2 (N=28)			
	N (%)	N (%)			
Nausea/vomiting	10 (6.7)	3 (10.7)			
Gastroparesis/ileum	8 (5.4)	1 (3.6)			
Wound infection	5 (3.4)	-			
Biliary leak	5 (3.4)	3 (10.7)			
Wound dehiscence	3 (2.1)	-			
Other wound complications	4 (2.7)	-			
Biloma	4 (2.7)	1 (3.6)			
Fever	1 (0.7)	1 (3.6)			
Hepatic dysfunction	2 (1.4)	-			
Pulmonary congestion	-	1 (3.6)			
Thrombosis	-	1 (3.6)			
Blood transfusion	2 (1.4)	-			
Anemia	2 (1.4)	-			
Hyperglycemia	1 (0.7)	-			
Allergic reaction	1 (0.7)	-			
Lipothymia	1 (0.7)	-			
Urinary infection	1 (0.7)	-			
Headache	1 (0.7)	-			
Pneumothorax	1 (0.7)	-			
Chylous ascites	1 (0.7)	-			
Death	2 (1.4)	1 (3.6)			
Total	55 (37.2)	12 (42.8)			

of minor (p=0.266) or major (p=0.695) grade complications, and number of deaths (p=0.407).

DISCUSSION

Prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer is strongly linked to liver metastasis treatment⁵. Liver is the most common recurrence site, and the multidisciplinary evaluation is important to select benefited patients and the best treatment option¹⁴. Surgery associated with chemotherapy improves the long-term survival for patients with CRLM recurrence^{6,18,25}; however, morbidity related to hepatectomy is still a significant issue, especially in patients submitted to repeated hepatectomies^{4,12,22}.

Repeated liver resection may be challenging by a combination of reasons, such as adhesions and modifications in the anatomy caused from prior surgery, as well as chemotherapy-induced liver injury¹. Some initial series have highlighted these factors as responsible for the increased morbimortality associated with such resections^{3,13}. These results, however, were not observed in more recent studies, which demonstrated no difference in morbimortality between first and re-hepatectomy for CRLM^{4,12,17,24}.

Fukami et al.¹² demonstrated that accumulated experience may play a role to diminish morbidity after re-hepatectomy. In contrast, even high-level centers tend to present higher morbidity after re-hepatectomy, when compared to first hepatectomy for CRLM (p=0.069), as reported by Wicherts et al.²⁷ Moreover, in the same report, hepatic complications after re-hepatectomy were more often classified as major complications (p=0.150). This could be explained by the high number of patients with multiple cycles of chemotherapy and submitted to second, third, and even fourth hepatectomies. In the present study, we also observed similar morbidity rates between the first and re-hepatectomy groups (37.2% and 46.5%, respectively; p=0.834), where the majority were classified as having minor complications, in accordance with the literature. 17,24,27 We also observed that the first hepatectomy group was more prone to present major grade complications (7.4% vs. 3.6%, p=0.695).

Considering the type of complication, gastrointestinal motility disorders were the most prevalent, affecting 22 patients,

Table 3 - Patient's complications according to Clavien-Dindo classification.

Clavien-Dindo	Group 1 (N=148) N (%)	Group 2 (N=28) N (%)	p-value	
Grade 1	21 (14.1)	7 (25.0)	0.266	
Grade 2	21 (14.1)	4 (14.3)	0.200	
Grade 3A	6 (4.0)	1 (3.6)		
Grade 3B	3 (2.0)	-	0.695	
Grade 4A	1 (0.7)	-	0.095	
Grade 4B	1 (0.7)	-		
Grade 5	2 (1.4)	1 (3.6)	0.407	
Total	55 (37.2)	13 (46.5)	0.834	

i.e., 18 (12.1%) in Group 1 and 4 (14.3%) in Group 2. There is always a concern raised when major hepatectomy is performed, especially when a large raw liver cut surface is present. Biliary leak-related complications, such as biliary fistula or biloma, occurred in 14 patients, i.e., 9 (7.0%) from Group 1 and 5 (17.8%) from Group 2. These results are similar to previous reports.^{4,27} Even though there was no statistical difference between both groups (p=0.506), the re-hepatectomy group had a greater tendency to develop biliary leak complications. This could be explained by the difficulties to identify the cystic duct and perform the bile leak test¹¹ in patients formerly submitted to cholecystectomy - commonly executed during first hepatectomy. Most of the observed biliary complications could be considered benign and were treated conservatively. However, five patients needed a percutaneous drainage of biloma, and one patient died consequently due to sepsis related to biliary fistula, a fact that highlights the importance of preventing biliary complications.

Similar to a concern after hepatectomy, liver dysfunction was observed in two patients from Group 1, both submitted to major resections. Similar to other series^{4,12,27}, there was no statistical difference in the occurrence of hepatic dysfunction between first and re-hepatectomy patients (p=1). As this type of complication is intimately related to the amount of hepatic parenchyma resected and the volume of the liver remnant^{21,26}, patients submitted to major resection are more prone to develop liver dysfunction.

Regarding the type of resection, minor hepatectomies were more prevalent in both groups (79% and 85.7% for Groups 1 and 2, respectively). However, we observed a tendency for more major hepatectomies in Group 1 (21% vs. 14.3%; p=0.606). Other studies^{4,7,12,27} also reported more major resection during first hepatectomy, while patients who had undergone rehepatectomy also underwent more atypical and minor resections. This could be explained by the difficulties to perform major hepatectomies in patients previously submitted to surgery and chemotherapy, as well as to spare liver parenchyma in an organ already submitted to major parenchyma resection.

Four patients needed to be reoperated, three from Group 1, due to wound dehiscence and one from Group 2, due to choleperitonitis. From previous reports, bleeding and abdominal wall complications are the main indications for reoperation after hepatectomy^{1,19,21}. We observed three deaths in the current study, two in Group 1 and one in Group 2, corresponding to a mortality rate of 1.4% and 3.6%, respectively (p=0.407), which is in line with the literature^{4,12,27}.

CONCLUSIONS

Repeated hepatic resections for CRLM became a safe procedure when performed by hepatobiliary teams with experience in complex liver resections. The results of the present study demonstrated no differences in morbidity or mortality

between patients submitted to first and re-hepatectomies for CRLM, which reinforces that re-hepatectomy is an alternate option in the arsenal of treatments for these patients, with good outcomes and potentially cure possibilities.

REFERENCES

- Adam R, Bismuth H, Castaing D, Waechter F, Navarro F, Abascal A, Majno P, Engerran L. Repeat hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases. Ann Surg. 1997;225(1):51-60; discussion 60-2. doi: 10.1097/00000658-199701000-00006.
- Altendorf-Hofmann A, Scheele J. A critical review of the major indicators of prognosis after resection of hepatic metastases from colorectal carcinoma. Surg Oncol Clin N Am. 2003;12(1):165-92, xi. doi: 10.1016/s1055-3207(02)00091-1.
- Aramaki M, Kawano K, Kai T, Sasaki A, Ohno T, Tahara K, Takeuchi Y, Yoshida T, Kitano S. Postoperative complications of repeat hepatectomy for liver metastasis from colorectal carcinoma. Hepatogastroenterology. 2000;47(32):478-80. PMID: 10791217.
- Battula N, Tsapralis D, Mayer D, Isaac J, Muiesan P, Sutcliffe RP, Bramhall S, Mirza D, Marudanayagam R. Repeat liver resection for recurrent colorectal metastases: a single-centre, 13-year experience. HPB (Oxford). 2014;16(2):157-63. doi: 10.1111/hpb.12096.
- Campanati RG, Sancio JB, Sucena LMA, Sanches MD, Resende V. Primary tumor lymphovascular invasion negatively affects survival after colorectal liver metastasis resection? Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2021;34(1):e1578. doi: 10.1590/0102-672020210001e1578.
- Choti MA, Sitzmann JV, Tiburi MF, Sumetchotimetha W, Rangsin R, Schulick RD, Lillemoe KD, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL. Trends in longterm survival following liver resection for hepatic colorectal metastases. Ann Surg. 2002;235(6):759-66. doi:10.1097/00000658-200206000-00002.
- Repeat hepatectomy for recurrent colorectal liver metastases: A comparative analysis of short- and long-term results. Costa PF, Coelho FF, Jeismann VB, Kruger JAP, Fonseca GM, Cecconello I, Herman P. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2021:S1499-3872(21)00161-2.
- 8. D'Angelica M, Kornprat P, Gonen M, DeMatteo RP, Fong Y, Blumgart LH, Jarnagin WR. Effect on outcome of recurrence patterns after hepatectomy for colorectal metastases. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011;18(4):1096-103. doi: 10.1245/s10434-010-1409-1.
- de Jong MC, Pulitano C, Ribero D, Strub J, Mentha G, Schulick RD, Choti MA, Aldrighetti L, Capussotti L, Pawlik TM. Rates and patterns of recurrence following curative intent surgery for colorectal liver metastasis: an international multi-institutional analysis of 1669 patients. Ann Surg. 2009;250(3):440-8. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b4539b.
- 10. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg. 2004;240(2):205-13. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae.
- D'Oliveira M, Vasconcellos H, Steinbruck K, Fernandes R, Rodrigues L, Capelli R et al. Bile Leakage test in hepatectomy - Is better when it bubbles? Int J Med Rev Case Rep. 2020; 4(8): 63-66. doi: 10.5455/ IJMRCR.bile-leakage-test-in-hepatectomy.
- Fukami Y, Kaneoka Y, Maeda A, Takayama Y, Onoe S. Postoperative complications following aggressive repeat hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastasis have adverse oncological outcomes. Surg Today. 2017;47(1):99-107. doi: 10.1007/s00595-016-1340-6.
- 13. Jakab F, Mersich T. Ismételt májresectio, a modern daganatsebészet egyik kihívása [Repeat resection of the liver--a challenge in modern oncologic surgery]. Magy Seb. 2010;63(1):3-8. Hungarian. doi: 10.1556/MaSeb.63.2010.1.1.

- Lemke J, Cammerer G, Ganser J, Scheele J, Xu P, Sander S, Henne-Bruns D, Kornmann M. Survival and Prognostic Factors of Colorectal Liver Metastases After Surgical and Nonsurgical Treatment. Clin Colorectal Cancer. 2016;15(4):e183-e192. doi: 10.1016/j.clcc.2016.04.007.
- Leporrier J, Maurel J, Chiche L, Bara S, Segol P, Launoy G. A population-based study of the incidence, management and prognosis of hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer. Br J Surg. 2006;93(4):465-74. doi: 10.1002/bjs.5278.
- Lochan R, White SA, Manas DM. Liver resection for colorectal liver metastasis. Surg Oncol. 2007;16(1):33-45. doi: 10.1016/j. suronc.2007.04.010.
- Neal CP, Nana GR, Jones M, Cairns V, Ngu W, Isherwood J, Dennison AR, Garcea G. Repeat hepatectomy is independently associated with favorable long-term outcome in patients with colorectal liver metastases. Cancer Med. 2017;6(2):331-338. doi: 10.1002/cam4.872.
- Nordlinger B, Guiguet M, Vaillant JC, Balladur P, Boudjema K, Bachellier P, Jaeck D. Surgical resection of colorectal carcinoma metastases to the liver. A prognostic scoring system to improve case selection, based on 1568 patients. Association Française de Chirurgie. Cancer. 1996;77(7):1254-62. PMID: 8608500.
- Park J, Lee SD, Han SS, Kim SH, Park SJ, Oh JH, Joo J. Repeat hepatectomy for recurred colorectal liver metastasis: is it justified? Ann Surg Treat Res. 2019;97(1):7-14. doi: 10.4174/astr.2019.97.1.7.
- 20. Saiura A, Yamamoto J, Koga R, Takahashi Y, Takahashi M, Inoue Y, Ono Y, Kokudo N. Favorable outcome after repeat resection for colorectal liver metastases. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014;21(13):4293-9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-3863-7.
- 21. Steinbrück K, Fernandes R, Enne M, Martinho JM, Alves J, Pacheco-Moreira LF. Is there any difference between right hepatectomy and left lateral sectionectomy for living donors? as much you cut, as much you hurt? HPB (Oxford). 2010;12(10):684-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2010.00233.x.
- 22. Steinbrück K, Fernandes R, D'Oliveira M, Capelli R, Cano R, Vasconcelos H, Basilio L, Enne M. External Pringle maneuver in laparoscopic liver resection: a safe, cheap and reproducible way to perform it. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2021;33(4):e1555. doi: 10.1590/0102-672020200004e1555.
- 23. Strasberg SM. Nomenclature of hepatic anatomy and resections: a review of the Brisbane 2000 system. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2005;12(5):351-5. doi: 10.1007/s00534-005-0999-7.
- 24. Takamoto T, Hashimoto T, Miyata A, Shimada K, Maruyama Y, Makuuchi M. Repeat Hepatectomy After Major Hepatectomy for Colorectal Liver Metastases. J Gastrointest Surg. 2020;24(2):380-387. doi: 10.1007/s11605-019-04154-8.
- Tomlinson JS, Jarnagin WR, DeMatteo RP, Fong Y, Kornprat P, Gonen M, Kemeny N, Brennan MF, Blumgart LH, D'Angelica M. Actual 10-yearsurvival after resection of colorectal liver metastases defines cure. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(29):4575-80. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2007.11.0833.
- Vauthey JN, Pawlik TM, Abdalla EK, Arens JF, Nemr RA, Wei SH, Kennamer DL, Ellis LM, Curley SA. Is extended hepatectomy for hepatobiliary malignancy justified? Ann Surg. 2004;239(5):722-30; discussion 730-2. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000124385.83887.d5.
- 27. Wicherts DA, de Haas RJ, Salloum C, Andreani P, Pascal G, Sotirov D, Adam R, Castaing D, Azoulay D. Repeat hepatectomy for recurrent colorectal metastases. Br J Surg. 2013;100(6):808-18. doi: 10.1002/bjs.9088.
- Yamada H, Kondo S, Okushiba S, Morikawa T, Katoh H. Analysis of predictive factors for recurrence after hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases. World J Surg. 2001;25(9):1129-33. doi: 10.1007/ BF03215859.